

On Coarse Spectral Geometry in Even Dimension

Robert Yuncken

March 10, 2010

Abstract

Let σ be the involution of the Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$ which is induced from the reflection $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; x \mapsto -x$. A graded Fredholm module over a separable C^* -algebra A gives rise to a homomorphism $\tilde{\rho} : A \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ to the fixed-point subalgebra. We use this observation to give an even-dimensional analogue of a result of Roe. Namely, we show that the K -theory of this symmetric Roe algebra is $K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|) = 0$, and that the induced map $\tilde{\rho}_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on K -theory gives the index pairing of K -homology with K -theory.

1 Introduction

In [Roe97], Roe observed that a Dirac operator D on an odd-dimensional closed manifold M gives rise to a C^* -algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho} : C(M) \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}| \quad (1.1)$$

from the continuous functions on M to the Roe algebra of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. The space $\mathbb{R}$ appears because, up to coarse equivalence, it is the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator D . The K -theory of $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$ is

$$K_n(C^*|\mathbb{R}|) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}, & n = 1, \end{cases}$$

and the map

$$\tilde{\rho}_* : K_1(C(M)) \rightarrow K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad (1.2)$$

agrees with the index pairing of K -theory with the K -homology class $[D] \in K_1(M)$.

This point of view was extensively developed by Luu ([Luu05]), who showed that analytic K -homology can be reformulated entirely in the language of coarse spectral geometry. Specifically, let A be a separable C^* -algebra. Luu defined groups $KC^n(A, \mathbb{C})$ whose cycles are $*$ -homomorphisms $\rho : A \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}^n|$,¹ and

¹ The most natural coarse structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ here is the topologically controlled coarse structure associated to the compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a sphere at infinity. (See [Roe03] for the definition.) If A is separable, it turns out to be equivalent to use the standard metric coarse structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, although the construction becomes somewhat more technical. The K -theory of $C^*|\mathbb{R}^n|$ is the same in either case.

then proved that $KC^n(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong KK^n(A, \mathbb{C})$. In fact, Luu worked with an arbitrary $(\sigma\text{-unital})$ coefficient algebra B , to produce groups $KC^n(A, B)$ isomorphic to $KK^n(A, B)$. We choose not to work in that generality here.

Luu's picture of K -homology is aesthetically very pleasing. The price of this elegance, however, is some computational complexity in even dimensions. The isomorphism of KK and KC in even dimension is achieved via a map $KK^0(A, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KC^2(A, \mathbb{C})$ which requires as input a *balanced* Fredholm module, *i.e.* a graded Fredholm module of the form $(H = H_0 \oplus H_0, \rho = \rho_0 \oplus \phi_0, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U^* \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix})$ for some Hilbert space H_0 , representation ρ_0 and Fredholm operator $U : H_0 \rightarrow H_0$. While every K^0 -class can be represented by a balanced Fredholm module, the process of "balancing" is quite heavy-handed. For instance, given a Dirac operator on an even dimensional manifold, the Hilbert space of the associated balanced Fredholm module is an infinite direct sum of L^2 -sections of the spinor bundle. (See [HR00, Proposition 8.3.12].) The relationship between the spectrum of U and that of the original operator D is not obvious.

In this paper, we describe an alternative approach to controlled spectral geometry in even dimension which is more convenient for geometric applications. Let $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the reflection through the origin. This induces a $*$ -involution σ of the Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$ (see Section 3). Given a graded Fredholm module (H, ρ, D) for A , Roe's construction in fact produces a $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\rho} : A \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ into the fixed-point algebra of σ . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *The K -theory of the symmetric Roe algebra is*

$$K_n(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & n = 0, \\ 0, & n = 1, \end{cases}$$

and the induced map

$$\tilde{\rho}_* : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.3}$$

agrees with the index pairing of $[(H, \rho, D)] \in K^0(A)$ with K -theory.

The author would like to thank Viêt-Trung Luu for stimulating chats.

2 Preliminaries: The Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$

We shall use $|\mathbb{R}|$ to denote the real line equipped with the topological coarse structure induced from the two-point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. Thus, a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is *controlled* if for any sequence $(x_n, y_n) \in E$, $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ (resp. $-\infty$) if and only if $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ (resp. $-\infty$).

We shall refer to a Hilbert space H equipped with a nondegenerate representation $m : C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ as a *geometric $\mathbb{R}$ -Hilbert space*. By the spectral theorem, m extends naturally to the algebra of Borel functions $B(\mathbb{R})$. We shall

typically suppress mention of m in the notation. We use χ_Y to denote the characteristic function of a subset $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *locally compact* if $fT, Tf \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ for all $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. It is *controlled* (for the above topological coarse structure) if for all $R \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $S \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(-\infty, R]} T \chi_{[S, \infty)} &= 0, & \chi_{[S, \infty)} T \chi_{(-\infty, R]} &= 0, \\ \chi_{(-R, \infty]} T \chi_{(-\infty, -S]} &= 0, & \chi_{(-\infty, -S]} T \chi_{[-R, \infty)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

One defines $C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)$ as the norm-closure of the locally compact and controlled operators on H . This C^* -algebra is independent of the choice of H as long as H is *ample*, i.e. $m(f)$ is noncompact for all nonzero $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. In that case, the algebra is referred to as the *Roe algebra* $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$.

The following standard facts are easy consequences of the definitions. The reader familiar with Roe algebras may prefer to recognize them as consequences of the coarsely excisive decomposition $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, 0] \cup [0, \infty)$, where we note that the ideal $C^*_{|\mathbb{R}|}(|\{0\}|; H)$ associated to the inclusion of a point into $\mathbb{R}$ is just the compact operators. (See [HRY93], [HPR97].)

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T \in C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)$. For any $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

- (i) $\chi_{(-\infty, R_1]} T \chi_{[R_2, \infty)}$ and $\chi_{[R_2, \infty)} T \chi_{(-\infty, R_1]}$ are compact operators.
- (ii) $[T, \chi_{(-\infty, R_1]}]$ and $[T, \chi_{[R_2, \infty)}]$ are compact operators.

3 Graded Fredholm modules and the symmetric Roe algebra

In what follows, we shall use the unbounded (‘Baaj-Julg’) picture of K -homology. This is a purely aesthetic choice—see Remark 3.3 for the construction using bounded Fredholm modules.

Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let (H, ρ, D) be a graded unbounded Fredholm module for A , i.e. H is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert space, ρ is a representation of A by even operators on H , and D is an odd self-adjoint unbounded operator on H such that

- (1) for all $a \in A$, $(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho(a)$ extends to a compact operator,
- (2) for a dense set of $a \in A$, $[D, \rho(a)]$ is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator.

Let $\gamma_{\text{ev}}, \gamma_{\text{od}}$ denote the projections onto the even and odd components of H , and $\gamma = \gamma_{\text{ev}} - \gamma_{\text{od}}$ be the grading operator. Let σ be the involution of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ defined by $\sigma : T \mapsto \gamma T \gamma$.

Functional calculus on the operator D provides H with a geometric $\mathbb{R}$ structure, namely $m : B(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$; $f \mapsto f(D)$. For any $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sigma(m(f)) = f(\gamma.D.\gamma) = f(-D) = m(f \circ s),$$

where $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the reflection in the origin. In coarse language, γ is a covering isometry for s . It follows that σ restricts to an involution of $C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)$. The subalgebra fixed by σ will be denoted $C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)^\sigma$.

Taking this symmetry into account gives an immediate strengthening of Roe's construction for ungraded Fredholm modules.

Proposition 3.1. *The image of ρ lies in $C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)^\sigma$.*

Proof. The function $f(x) = x(1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ generates $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$, and the ideal generated by $g(x) = (1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is $C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Using [HR00, Theorem 6.5.1], Properties (1) and (2) above imply that $\rho(a) \in C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H)$ for any $a \in A$. Since $\rho(a)$ is even, $\sigma(a) = \gamma\rho(a)\gamma = \rho(a)$. $\square$

This geometric $\mathbb{R}$ -Hilbert space H is not typically ample. However, one can always embed H into an ample geometric $\mathbb{R}$ -Hilbert space. For specificity, let us put $\mathcal{H} := H \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has its natural geometric $\mathbb{R}$ -structure. Extension of operators by zero gives an inclusion $\iota : C^*(|\mathbb{R}|; H) \hookrightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}|$. Put $\tilde{\rho} = \iota \circ \rho : A \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}|$.

The symmetry $g \mapsto g \circ s$ defines a grading operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We shall reuse γ to denote the total grading operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Likewise, we use σ to denote conjugation by γ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\tilde{\rho}$ has image in $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$.

Remark 3.2. In the above, we have employed a specific choice of symmetry $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(C^*|\mathbb{R}|)$ associated to the reflection s of $\mathbb{R}$. For the expert concerned about the uniqueness of this definition, we supply some brief comments without proof. They shall not be needed in what follows.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any ample geometric $\mathbb{R}$ -Hilbert space. By [Luu05, Prop. 2.2.11(iii)] (following [HRY93]), there exists a unitary $\gamma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which covers s , in the sense that $(1 \times s)(\text{Supp}(\gamma)) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a controlled set. By carrying out the proof of this fact in a way that maintains the reflective symmetry, one can ensure that γ is involutive, $\gamma^2 = 1$. Then $\sigma : T \rightarrow \gamma T \gamma$ is an involution of $C^*|\mathbb{R}|$. If γ' is another involutive covering isometry for s , then there is a controlled unitary $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\gamma' = V\gamma$ ([Luu05, Prop. 2.2.11(iv)] following [HRY93]). If σ' is conjugation by γ' , then $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^{\sigma'} = VC^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma V^*$. Thus the symmetric Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ is unique up to controlled unitary equivalence.

Remark 3.3. The bounded Fredholm module corresponding to (H, ρ, D) is $(H, \rho, F := D(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. The map $\phi : x \mapsto x(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ defines a coarse equivalence from $|\mathbb{R}|$ to the interval $|(-1, 1)|$, with topological coarse structure associated to its two-point compactification $[-1, 1]$. Thus, the bounded picture of K -homology provides a morphism $\rho : A \rightarrow C^*|(1, -1)| \cong C^*|\mathbb{R}|$.

4 K -theory of the symmetric Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$

Proposition 4.1. *The K -theory of $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ is*

$$K_\bullet(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \bullet = 0, \\ 0, & \bullet = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|)^\sigma$ is generated by finite rank projections $p \in M_n(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma)$, and for such projections, the map to $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$[p] \mapsto \dim p\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}} - \dim p\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}}.$$

We use a Mayer-Vietoris type argument (cf. [HRY93]). Put $Y_+ := [1, \infty)$, $Y_- := (-\infty, -1]$, with their coarse structures inherited from $|\mathbb{R}|$. We will abbreviate $\chi_{Y_\pm}$ as $\chi_\pm$. Since $\mathcal{H}_+ := \chi_+ \mathcal{H}$ is an ample geometric Y_+ -Hilbert space, we can define the Roe algebra $C^*|Y_+|$ as the corner algebra $C^*(|Y_+|; \mathcal{H}_+) = \chi_+ C^*|\mathbb{R}| \chi_+$. Likewise for $C^*|Y_-|$.

Note that $\sigma(\chi_\pm) = \chi_\mp$, so that σ interchanges $C^*|Y_+|$ and $C^*|Y_-|$. Since $\chi_+ \chi_- = 0$, the symmetrization map $(I + \sigma) : T \mapsto T + \sigma(T)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism from $C^*|Y_+|$ into $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$. We obtain a morphism of short-exact sequences,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+) & \longrightarrow & C^*|Y_+| & \longrightarrow & C^*|Y_+|/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow (I+\sigma) & & \downarrow (I+\sigma) & & \downarrow (I+\sigma) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma & \longrightarrow & C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma & \longrightarrow & C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.2. *The right-hand map $(I + \sigma) : C^*|Y_+|/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+) \rightarrow C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\psi : C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma \rightarrow C^*|Y_+|$ denote the cut-down map $T \mapsto \chi_+ T \chi_+$. By using Lemma 2.1(ii), ψ is a homomorphism modulo compacts, so it descends to a homomorphism $\psi : C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma \rightarrow C^*|Y_+|/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. By Lemma 2.1(i), for any $T \in C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ we have

$$T \equiv \chi_+ T \chi_+ + \chi_- T \chi_- \pmod{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma},$$

so that ψ is inverse to $(I + \sigma)$. $\square$

Put $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}} := \gamma_{\text{ev}} \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}} := \gamma_{\text{od}} \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}})$ via $T \mapsto T\gamma_{\text{ev}} \oplus T\gamma_{\text{od}}$. In particular, $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ via the map which sends the class of a projection p to $(\dim(p\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}), \dim(p\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}}))$.*

Proof. Note that any $T \in C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$ commutes with γ , so $T \mapsto T\gamma_{\text{ev}} \oplus T\gamma_{\text{od}}$ is indeed a homomorphism. The inverse homomorphism is $T_1 \oplus T_2 \mapsto T_1 + T_2$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Under the identifications $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the map $(I + \sigma)_*$ is $n \mapsto (n, n)$.*

Proof. Let p be a projection in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+)$. Then $p = \chi_{Y_+} p \chi_{Y_+}$, so $p\gamma = \chi_{Y_+} p \gamma \chi_{Y_-}$, and hence $\text{Tr}(p\gamma) = 0$. Since $\gamma_{\text{ev/od}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma)$, $\text{Tr}(p\gamma_{\text{ev}}) = \text{Tr}(p\gamma_{\text{od}}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(p)$. Similarly, $\text{Tr}(\sigma(p)\gamma_{\text{ev}}) = \text{Tr}(\sigma(p)\gamma_{\text{od}}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma(p)) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(p)$. Hence, $\text{Tr}((I + \sigma)(p)\gamma_{\text{ev}}) = \text{Tr}((I + \sigma)(p)\gamma_{\text{od}}) = \text{Tr}(p)$, and the result follows from the previous lemma. $\square$

By [Roe96, Proposition 9.4], $C^*[Y_+]$ has trivial K -theory. The boundary maps in K -theory induced from the diagram (4.1) give

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_1(C^*[Y_+]/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_+)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
(I+\sigma)_* \downarrow \cong & & (I+\sigma)_* \downarrow \\
K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} n \\ \downarrow \\ (n, n) \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

We see that $K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and the image of its boundary map into $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma)$ is $\{(n, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The corresponding diagram in the other degree gives $K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})^\sigma) \cong 0$.

Now the six-term exact sequence associated to the bottom row of (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(n, n) & & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\
n & & \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) & \longleftarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Thus, $K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma) \cong 0$. With an appropriate choice of sign, top-left horizontal map is given by $(m, n) \mapsto m - n$. Applying Lemma 4.3, this completes the proof of 4.1.

5 The index pairing

Let $\theta \in K^0(A)$ be the K -homology class of a graded unbounded Fredholm module (H, ρ, D) , and put $F := D(1 + D^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Let p be a projection in $M_n(A)$. The index pairing $K^0(A) \times K_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$(\theta, [p]) := \text{Index} [\rho(p)(F \otimes I_n)\rho(p) : \rho(p)H_{\text{ev}}^n \rightarrow \rho(p)H_{\text{od}}^n],$$

(where I_n denotes the identity in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.)

Let $P = \tilde{\rho}(p) \in M_n(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma)$, and let f denote the function $f(x) = x(1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, as represented on the geometric $|\mathbb{R}|$ -Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then

$$(\theta, [p]) = \text{Index}(P(f \otimes I_n)P : P\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}^n \rightarrow P\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}}^n).$$

The right-hand side here depends only on the class of P in $K_0(C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma)$. By Proposition 4.1, we may therefore replace P by a finite rank projection Q , and the index is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta, [p]) &= \text{Index}(Q(f \otimes I_n)Q : Q\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}^n \rightarrow Q\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}}^n) \\
&= \dim(Q\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}^n) - \dim(Q\mathcal{H}_{\text{od}}^n) \\
&= [Q] = \tilde{\rho}_*[p].
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 5.1. Given the above results, it is natural to expect a reformulation of $KK^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ in the spirit of Luu. Indeed, one can define a group $KC_\sigma^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ as follows. Cycles are morphisms from A into the symmetric Roe algebra $C^*|\mathbb{R}|^\sigma$. Equivalence of cycles is generated by controlled unitary equivalences (preserving the involution γ) and weak homotopies (respecting the symmetry σ). Then $KC_\sigma^0(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong KK^0(A, \mathbb{C})$. We shall not develop this in detail here, as the results follow [Luu05] closely.

References

- [HPR97] Nigel Higson, Erik Kjær Pedersen, and John Roe. C^* -algebras and controlled topology. *K-Theory*, 11(3):209–239, 1997.
- [HR00] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- [HRY93] Nigel Higson, John Roe, and Guo Liang Yu. A coarse Mayer-Vietoris principle. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 114(1):85–97, 1993.
- [Luu05] Viêt-Trung Luu. *A large scale approach to K-homology*. PhD thesis, Penn State Univerisity, 2005.
- [Roe96] John Roe. *Index theory, coarse geometry, and topology of manifolds*, volume 90 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996.
- [Roe97] John Roe. An example of dual control. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 27(4):1215–1221, 1997.
- [Roe03] John Roe. *Lectures on coarse geometry*, volume 31 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.